CASE SUMMARY

APPLICATION TYPE: REZONING



F A X • 2 1 5 • 2 0 6 8 w w w • k n o x m p c • o r g

File Number:	1-C-15-RZ	Related File Number:
Application Filed:	11/21/2014	Date of Revision:
Applicant:	MESANA INVESTMENTS, LLC	

PROPERTY INFORMATION

General Location:	Northwest side S. Northshore Dr., northeast of Queensbridge Cir.		
Other Parcel Info.:			
Tax ID Number:	145 015	Jurisdiction:	County
Size of Tract:	15.78 acres		
Accessibility:	Access is via S. Northshore Dr., a major arterial street with 23' of pavement width within 90' of right-of- way.		

GENERAL LAND USE INFORMATION

Existing Land Use:	House and vacant lar	nd	
Surrounding Land Use:			
Proposed Use:	Detached residential subdivision		Density: 3.25 du/ac
Sector Plan:	Southwest County	Sector Plan Designation: LD	PR and SLPA
Growth Policy Plan:	Planned Growth Area	1	
Neighborhood Context:	This area is develope	ed with agricultural and rural to low	density residential uses, zoned A, PR and RA.

ADDRESS/RIGHT-OF-WAY INFORMATION (where applicable)

Street:

8601 S Northshore Dr

Location:

Proposed Street Name:

Department-Utility Report:

Reason:

ZONING INFORMATION (where applicable)

Current Zoning:	A (Agricultural)
Former Zoning:	
Requested Zoning:	PR (Planned Residential)
Previous Requests:	None noted
Extension of Zone:	Yes, extension of PR zoning from three sides
History of Zoning:	None noted

PLAN INFORMATION (where applicable)

Current Plan Category:

Requested Plan Category:

SUBDIVISION INFORMATION (where applicable)

Subdivision Name:

No. of Lots Proposed:

No. of Lots Approved: 0

Variances Requested:

S/D Name Change:

OTHER INFORMATION (where applicable)

Other Bus./Ord. Amend.:

	MPC ACTION AND DISPOSITION
Planner In Charge:	Michael Brusseau
Staff Recomm. (Abbr.):	RECOMMEND that County Commission APPROVE PR (Planned Residential) zoning at a density of up to 3 du/ac. (Applicant requested 3.25 du/ac.)
Staff Recomm. (Full):	PR is an appropriate zone for residential development of this site and is consistent with the sector plan recommendation for the property, which is within the Planned Growth Area on the Growth Policy Plan. The recommended density of up to 3 du/ac is consistent with the slope protection recommendations of the Hillside and Ridgetop Protection Plan (HRPP) for residential densities and development. At the requested density of 3.25 du/ac, the proposal slightly exceeds (by 4 units) the maximum 47 units recommended in the HRPP.
Comments:	REZONING REQUIREMENTS FROM ZONING ORDINANCES (must meet all of these):
	 THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT SHALL BE NECESSARY BECAUSE OF SUBSTANTIALLY CHANGED OR CHANGING CONDITIONS IN THE AREA AND DISTRICTS AFFECTED, OR IN THE CITY/COUNTY GENERALLY: 1. PR is the most appropriate residential zone that can be considered for this site, because of its flexibility in lot sizes, and the ability to cluster development in the more suitable areas of the site. The developer should make every attempt to preserve existing trees on the site and keep development off of the steepest slopes. The steepest area of this site is along the western boundary. 2. PR zoning is a logical extension of zoning from three sides and the requested density is consistent with the sector plan proposal for the property. However, because of the slope constraints of the site, staff is recommending a lesser density. 3. The PR zone requires use on review approval of a development plan by MPC prior to construction. This will provide the opportunity for staff to review the plan and address issues such as traffic circulation, lot layout, recreational amenities, drainage, types of units and other potential development concerns. It will also give the opportunity for public comment at the MPC meeting. THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT SHALL BE CONSISTENT WITH THE INTENT AND PURPOSE OF THE APPLICABLE ZONING ORDINANCE: 1. PR zoning is intended to provide optional methods of land development which encourage more imaginative solutions to environmental design problems. Residential areas thus established would be characterized by a unified building and site development program, open space for recreation and provision for commercial, religious, educational and cultural facilities which are integrated with the total project by unified architectural elements and open space treatment. 2. Additionally, the zoning states that each development shall be compatible with the surrounding or adjacent zones. Such compatibility shall be determined by the Planning Commission by review of development plans.
	 THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT SHALL NOT ADVERSELY AFFECT ANY OTHER PART OF THE COUNTY, NOR SHALL ANY DIRECT OR INDIRECT ADVERSE EFFECTS RESULT FROM SUCH AMENDMENT: PR zoning at the recommended density will allow the property to be developed, but will require use on review development plan approval by MPC prior to construction. Based on the 15.78-acre reported size of the property, the proposed PR zoning at a density of up to 3.25 du/ac would allow for a maximum of 51 dwelling units to be proposed for the site. That number of detached units would add approximately 558 vehicle trips per day to the street system and would add approximately 32 children under the age of 18 to the school system. The recommended density of up to 3 du/ac would allow for a maximum of 47 dwelling units to be proposed for the site. That number of detached units would add approximately 518 vehicle trips per day to the street system and would add approximately 30 children under the age of 18 to the school system. S. Northshore Dr. is a major arterial street with sufficient capacity to support low density residential

3. S. Northshore Dr. is a major arterial street with sufficient capacity to support low density residential development of this site. However, it would be preferred if access were taken from Knightsbridge Dr.

			ctly to S. Northshore Dr. General d street, when possible. Additiona	
			nich could hamper traffic flow on th	
	surrounding de The proposed	evelopment and zoning pattern, ar density of 3.25 du/ac would not co	mpatible with the scale and intens ad also considers the slope constr onform with the slope protection po vailable in the area, but may need	aints of the property. Dicies of the HRPP.
	GENERAL PL/ MAJOR ROAD 1. The Southw slope protectio (attached) on t 25%. The reco HRPP. 2. The site is l Policy Plan ma 3. This reques the area, consi Upon final app review develop proposed lot pa constructed. G	AN OF KNOXVILLE AND KNOX C D PLAN, LAND USE PLAN, COMM vest County Sector Plan designate on, consistent with the proposed P he site which revealed that about commended density is consistent w ocated within the Planned Growth ap. Is may lead to future requests for F istent with the sector plan proposa roval of the rezoning, the develop coment plan prior to the property's of attern and street network and will Grading and drainage plans may a	NSISTENT WITH AND NOT IN CO COUNTY, INCLUDING ANY OF IT MUNITY FACILITIES PLAN, AND Co es this site for low density resident R zoning and density. Staff ran a 3.5 acres of the site consists of sl with the residential density recommender Area on the Knoxville-Knox Cour PR zoning in the future on other A al for the area. er will be required to submit a con development. The plan will show to also identify the types of residenti- lso be required at this stage, if de	S ELEMENTS, DTHERS: ial uses with some slope analysis opes greater than lendations of the ty-Farragut Growth -zoned properties in cept plan/use on he property's al units that may be
	Knox County E	Engineering and MPC staff.		
Action:	Approved		Meeting Date:	1/8/2015
Details of Action:	RECOMMEND that County Commission APPROVE PR (Planned Residential) zoning at a density of up to 3.15 du/ac.			
Summary of Action:		the Knox County Commission A elling units per acre	PPROVE PR (Planned Residentia	l) zoning at a density
Date of Approval:	1/8/2015	Date of Denial:	Postponements:	
Date of Withdrawal:		Withdrawn prior to public	ation?: Action Appealed?:	
	LEGIS	SLATIVE ACTION AND L	DISPOSITION	
Legislative Body:	Knox County C	Commission		

Legislative Body:	Knox County Commission	
Date of Legislative Action:	2/23/2015	Date of Legislative Action, Second Reading:
Ordinance Number:		Other Ordinance Number References:
Disposition of Case:	Approved	Disposition of Case, Second Reading:
If "Other":		If "Other":
Amendments:		Amendments:
Date of Legislative Appeal:		Effective Date of Ordinance: