CASE SUMMARY

APPLICATION TYPE: REZONING

ONE YEAR PLAN AMENDMENT

File Number:	10-A-14-RZ	Related File Number:	10-A-14-PA
Application Filed:	6/20/2014	Date of Revision:	
Applicant:	GEORGE HAMILTON		



General Location:	Northwest side Garden Dr., southwest of Jacksboro Pike		
Other Parcel Info.:			
Tax ID Number:	48 M H 021	Jurisdiction:	City
Size of Tract:	25000 square feet		
Accessibility:			

GENERAL LAND USE INFORMATION

Existing Land Use: Vacant lot

Surrounding Land Use:

Proposed Use:	Multi-dwelling residenti	al	Density:
Sector Plan:	North City	Sector Plan Designation:	Low Density Residential
Growth Policy Plan:	Urban Growth Area (In	side City Limits)	

Neighborhood Context:

ADDRESS/RIGHT-OF-WAY INFORMATION (where applicable)

3501 Garden Dr

Location:

Street:

Proposed Street Name:

Department-Utility Report:

Reason:

ZONING INFORMATION (where applicable)

Current Zoning: R-1A (Low Density Residential)

Requested Zoning: R-2 (General Residential)

Previous Requests: None noted

Extension of Zone:

Former Zoning:

History of Zoning:

PLAN INFORMATION (where applicable)

Current Plan Category:LDR (Low Density Residential)Requested Plan Category:MDR (Medium Density Residential)



www•knoxmpc•org

SUBDIVISION INFORMATION (where applicable)

Subdivision Name:

No. of Lots Proposed:

No. of Lots Approved: 0

Variances Requested:

S/D Name Change:

OTHER INFORMATION (where applicable)

Other Bus./Ord. Amend.:

MPC ACTION AND DISPOSITION

Planner In Charge:		Michael Brusseau	
	<i></i>		

Staff Recomm. (Abbr.): DENY R-2 (General Residential) zoning.

Staff Recomm. (Full): R-2 zoning is not consistent with the sector plan and One Year Plan proposals for the site. There is no justification to amend either plan to support this rezoning request. Since the site fronts on Garden Dr., which is classified as a minor collector street, the current R-1A zoning would allow the proposed attached multi-dwelling residential development to be considered by MPC as a use permitted on review. Because of the slope constraints and potential compatibility issues, it would be desirable for MPC to have the opportunity to review a development plan, if this property were to be developed with attached multi-dwelling residential uses.

Comments:

REZONING REQUIREMENTS FROM ZONING ORDINANCES (must meet all of these):

THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT SHALL BE NECESSARY BECAUSE OF SUBSTANTIALLY CHANGED OR CHANGING CONDITIONS IN THE AREA AND DISTRICTS AFFECTED, OR IN THE CITY/COUNTY GENERALLY:

 The current R-1A zoning is compatible with the scale and intensity of the surrounding development and zoning pattern, and is consistent with the sector plan and One Year Plan proposals for the site.
In order to consider the requested R-2 zoning, the One Year Plan and sector plan maps must be amended from low to medium density residential uses. In staff's opinion, there is no justification warranting amendment of these plans for the subject property, therefore the rezoning should not be considered.

3. If attached multi-dwelling residential uses are proposed, the current R-1A zoning will allow that consideration. In the R-1A zoning district, when a property has vehicular access to a collector or arterial street, multi-dwelling development can be considered by MPC as a use on review. Garden Dr. is classified as a minor collector in the Major Road Plan. This involves the review and approval of a development plan prior to construction. As part of this review, MPC and City Engineering staff can address issues such as landscape screening, slope protection, site lighting, traffic circulation, access, drainage and other potential development concerns. It will also give the opportunity for public comment at a public hearing.

4. The requested R-2 zoning does not require MPC review of a development plan. Considering the slope constraints and compatibility concerns of the site, the current R-1A zoning is more appropriate for potential development of the site.

THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT SHALL BE CONSISTENT WITH THE INTENT AND PURPOSE OF THE APPLICABLE ZONING ORDINANCE:

1. R-2 zoning is intended to provide for medium population density. The principal uses of land may range from houses to low density multi-dwelling structures and developments. Certain uses which are more compatible functionally with intensive residential uses than with commercial uses are permitted. The recreational, religious, educational facilities and other related uses in keeping with the residential character of the district may be permitted on review by the planning commission. Internal stability, attractiveness, order and efficiency are encouraged by providing for adequate light, air and usable open space for dwellings and related facilities, and through consideration of the proper functional relationship to each permitted use in this district.

2. The current R-1A zoning allows single detached dwellings and duplexes as permitted uses, but requires use on review approval for attached multi-dwelling residential uses. There are nearby areas that are zoned R-2, as well as R-1. The current R-1A zoning is allows reasonable use of the site at an intensity that would be compatible with all surrounding development.

3. Staff maintains that this site is more appropriate to be developed under the current R-1A zoning, rather than the proposed R-2 zoning.

THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT SHALL NOT ADVERSELY AFFECT ANY OTHER PART OF THE COUNTY, NOR SHALL ANY DIRECT OR INDIRECT ADVERSE EFFECTS RESULT FROM SUCH AMENDMENT:

Date of Legislative Action:	Date of Legislative Action, Second Reading:
Ordinance Number:	Other Ordinance Number References:
Disposition of Case:	Disposition of Case, Second Reading:
If "Other":	If "Other":
Amendments:	Amendments:
Date of Legislative Appeal:	Effective Date of Ordinance: