# CASE SUMMARY

APPLICATION TYPE: REZONING



File Number:11-B-14-RZApplication Filed:9/29/2014Applicant:ERIC MOSELEY

Related File Number: Date of Revision: 
 T
 E
 N
 E
 S
 E
 E
 Suite 403 • City County Building
 4
 0
 M
 a
 n
 S
 T
 e
 N
 E
 S
 E
 E
 S
 S
 E
 E
 S
 S
 E
 E
 S
 S
 E
 E
 S
 S
 E
 E
 S
 S
 E
 E
 S
 S
 I
 S
 C
 S
 O
 S
 T
 e
 1
 S
 T
 e
 1
 S
 T
 e
 1
 S
 T
 E
 S
 T
 S
 T
 E
 S
 S
 T
 S
 S
 T
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 S
 <

## PROPERTY INFORMATION

General Location:	Southeast side S. Northshore Dr., southwest of Choto Rd.		
Other Parcel Info.:			
Tax ID Number:	169 016	Jurisdiction:	County
Size of Tract:	45 acres		
Accessibility:	Access is via S. Northshore Dr., a minor arterial street with 20 way.	of pavement wi	dth within 55' of right-of-

#### GENERAL LAND USE INFORMATION

Existing Land Use:	Vacant land and dwel	ling		
Surrounding Land Use:				
Proposed Use:	Detached residential			Density: 5 du/ac
Sector Plan:	Southwest County	Sector Plan Designation:	LDR & SLPA	
Growth Policy Plan:	Planned Growth Area			
Neighborhood Context:	This area is develope PR zoning.	d with agricultural, rural reside	ntial and low densit	y residential uses under A and

#### ADDRESS/RIGHT-OF-WAY INFORMATION (where applicable)

Street:

12616 S Northshore Dr

Location:

Proposed Street Name:

**Department-Utility Report:** 

Reason:

## ZONING INFORMATION (where applicable)

Current Zoning:	A (Agricultural)
Former Zoning:	
Requested Zoning:	PR (Planned Residential)
Previous Requests:	None noted
Extension of Zone:	Yes, extension of PR zoning from the southwest
History of Zoning:	None noted

## PLAN INFORMATION (where applicable)

**Current Plan Category:** 

#### Requested Plan Category:

## SUBDIVISION INFORMATION (where applicable)

Subdivision Name:

No. of Lots Proposed:

No. of Lots Approved: 0

Variances Requested:

S/D Name Change:

## OTHER INFORMATION (where applicable)

Other Bus./Ord. Amend.:

## **MPC ACTION AND DISPOSITION**

Planner In Charge:	Michael Brusseau
Staff Recomm. (Abbr.):	RECOMMEND that County Commission APPROVE PR (Planned Residential) zoning at a density of up to 3.5 du/ac. (Applicant requested 5 du/ac.)
Staff Recomm. (Full):	PR is an appropriate zone for residential development of this site and is consistent with the sector plan recommendation for the property, which is within the Planned Growth Area on the Growth Policy Plan. The recommended density of 3.5 du/ac is consistent with the slope protection recommendations of the Hillside and Ridgetop Protection Plan (HRPP) for residential densities and development. At the requested density of 5 du/ac, the proposal exceeds the number of units recommended in the HRPP.
Comments:	REZONING REQUIREMENTS FROM ZONING ORDINANCES (must meet all of these):
	<ul> <li>THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT SHALL BE NECESSARY BECAUSE OF SUBSTANTIALLY CHANGED OR CHANGING CONDITIONS IN THE AREA AND DISTRICTS AFFECTED, OR IN THE CITY/COUNTY GENERALLY:</li> <li>1. PR is the most appropriate residential zone that can be considered for this site, because of its flexibility in lot sizes, and the ability to cluster development in the more suitable areas of the site. The developer should make every attempt to preserve existing trees on the site and keep development off of the steepest slopes. The steepest area of this site is along the southern boundary in the rear portion of the property.</li> <li>2. PR zoning is a logical extension of zoning from the southwest and the density requested is consistent with the sector plan proposal for the property. However, because of the slope constraints of the site, staff is recommending a lesser density.</li> <li>3. The PR zone requires use on review approval of a development plan by MPC prior to construction. This will provide the opportunity for staff to review the plan and address issues such as traffic circulation, lot layout, recreational amenities, drainage, types of units and other potential development concerns. It will also give the opportunity for public comment at the MPC meeting.</li> <li>THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT SHALL BE CONSISTENT WITH THE INTENT AND PURPOSE OF THE APPLICABLE ZONING ORDINANCE:</li> <li>1. PR zoning is intended to provide optional methods of land development which encourage more imaginative solutions to environmental design problems. Residential areas thus established would be characterized by a unified building and site development program, open space for recreation and provision for commercial, religious, educational and cultural facilities which are integrated with the total project by unified architectural elements and open space treatment.</li> <li>2. Additionally, the zoning states that each development shall be compatible with the surrounding or adjacent zones. Such compatibility shall be determined by the Planning Comm</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT SHALL NOT ADVERSELY AFFECT ANY OTHER PART OF THE COUNTY, NOR SHALL ANY DIRECT OR INDIRECT ADVERSE EFFECTS RESULT FROM SUCH AMENDMENT:</li> <li>PR zoning at the recommended density will allow the property to be developed, but will require use on review development plan approval by MPC prior to construction.</li> <li>Based on the 45-acre reported size of the property, the proposed PR zoning at a density of up to 5 du/ac would allow for a maximum of 225 dwelling units to be proposed for the site. That number of detached units would add approximately 2186 vehicle trips per day to the street system and would add approximately 141 children under the age of 18 to the school system. The recommended density of up to 3.5 du/ac would allow for a maximum of 157 dwelling units to be proposed for the site. That number of detached units would add approximately 1570 vehicle trips per day to the street system and would add approximately 99 children under the age of 18 to the school system.</li> <li>S. Northshore Dr. is a minor arterial street with sufficient capacity to support low density residential</li> </ul>

	surrounding develop The proposed densit and would not confo	recommended density is comp ment and zoning pattern, and ty of 5 du/ac would be greater rm with the slope protection po	batible with the scale and intensity also considers the slope constrain than prevailing development densi blicies of the HRPP. ailable in the area, but may need to	ts of the property. ties in the area
	GENERAL PLAN OF MAJOR ROAD PLAN 1. The Southwest C slope protection, cor (attached) on the site 25%. The proposed 2. The site is located Policy Plan map. 3. This request may	KNOXVILLE AND KNOX CO N, LAND USE PLAN, COMMU ounty Sector Plan designates nsistent with the proposed PR e which revealed that about 7 density is consistent with the d within the Planned Growth A	SISTENT WITH AND NOT IN CON UNTY, INCLUDING ANY OF ITS E NITY FACILITIES PLAN, AND OTH this site for low density residential zoning and density. Staff ran a slo acres of the site consists of slopes residential density recommendation rea on the Knoxville-Knox County-I coning in the future on other A-zon for the area.	LEMENTS, HERS: uses with some pe analysis greater than ns of the HRPP. Farragut Growth
	review development proposed lot pattern constructed. Gradin	plan prior to the property's de	will be required to submit a concept velopment. The plan will show the so identify the types of residential up be required at this stage, if deem	property's inits that may be
	Knox County Engine	ering and MPC staff.		
Action:	Knox County Engine Approved			1/13/2014
Action: Details of Action:				
	Approved	ering and MPC staff.		1/13/2014
Details of Action:	Approved RECOMMEND the K	ering and MPC staff.	Meeting Date: 17	1/13/2014
Details of Action: Summary of Action:	Approved RECOMMEND the K of up to 3.5 dwelling	ering and MPC staff. Inox County Commission APP units per acre	Meeting Date: 1 ROVE PR (Planned Residential) zo Postponements:	1/13/2014
Details of Action: Summary of Action: Date of Approval:	Approved RECOMMEND the K of up to 3.5 dwelling 11/13/2014	ering and MPC staff. (nox County Commission APP units per acre <b>Date of Denial:</b>	Meeting Date: 1 ROVE PR (Planned Residential) zo Postponements: ion?:  Action Appealed?:	1/13/2014
Details of Action: Summary of Action: Date of Approval:	Approved RECOMMEND the K of up to 3.5 dwelling 11/13/2014	ering and MPC staff. Inox County Commission APP units per acre Date of Denial: Withdrawn prior to publicat	Meeting Date: 1 ROVE PR (Planned Residential) zo Postponements: ion?:  Action Appealed?:	1/13/2014
Details of Action: Summary of Action: Date of Approval: Date of Withdrawal:	Approved RECOMMEND the K of up to 3.5 dwelling 11/13/2014 LEGISLA Knox County Commi	ering and MPC staff. Inox County Commission APP units per acre Date of Denial: Withdrawn prior to publicat TIVE ACTION AND DI	Meeting Date: 1 ROVE PR (Planned Residential) zo Postponements: ion?:  Action Appealed?:	1/13/2014
Details of Action: Summary of Action: Date of Approval: Date of Withdrawal: Legislative Body:	Approved RECOMMEND the K of up to 3.5 dwelling 11/13/2014 LEGISLA Knox County Commi	ering and MPC staff. fnox County Commission APP units per acre <b>Date of Denial:</b> Withdrawn prior to publicat TIVE ACTION AND DI ission Date of Legis	Meeting Date: 1 ROVE PR (Planned Residential) zo Postponements: ion?:  Action Appealed?: SPOSITION	1/13/2014
Details of Action: Summary of Action: Date of Approval: Date of Withdrawal: Legislative Body: Date of Legislative Action:	Approved RECOMMEND the K of up to 3.5 dwelling 11/13/2014 LEGISLA Knox County Commi	ering and MPC staff. The fourth of the four	Meeting Date: 1 ROVE PR (Planned Residential) zo Postponements: ion?: Action Appealed?: SPOSITION lative Action, Second Reading:	1/13/2014

Amendments:

Date of Legislative Appeal:

Effective Date of Ordinance:

Amendments: