CASE SUMMARY

APPLICATION TYPE: REZONING

KNOXVILLE·KNOX COUNTY
M P C
METROPOLITAN
PLANNING
COMMISSION
TENNESSEE
Suite 403 • City County Building 4 0 0 M a i n S t r e e t Knoxville, Tennessee 37902
8 6 5 • 2 1 5 • 2 5 0 0
F A X • 2 1 5 • 2 0 6 8

www•knoxmpc•org

File Number:	6-C-10-RZ
Application Filed:	4/26/2010
Applicant:	CGK, INC.

C-10-RZ	Related File Number:
26/2010	Date of Revision:

PROPERTY INFORMATION

General Location: Southeast side Fox Rd., northeast of Canton Hollow Rd.

Other Parcel Info.:

Tax ID Number:143127Size of Tract:3 acres

Jurisdiction: County

11-A-10-SP

Accessibility:

GENERAL LAND USE INFORMATION

Existing Land Use:	Vacant land and house)	
Surrounding Land Use:			
Proposed Use:	Restaurant and marine rentals		Density:
Sector Plan:	Southwest County	Sector Plan Designation:	Low Density Residential
Growth Policy Plan:	Planned Growth Area		

Neighborhood Context:

ADDRESS/RIGHT-OF-WAY INFORMATION (where applicable)

1104 Fox Rd

Location:

Street:

Proposed Street Name:

Department-Utility Report:

Reason:

ZONING INFORMATION (where applicable)

Current Zoning: A (Agricultural)
Former Zoning:

Requested Zoning: CR (Rural Commercial)

Previous Requests: None noted

Extension of Zone:

History of Zoning:

PLAN INFORMATION (where applicable)

Current Plan Category:

Requested Plan Category:

SUBDIVISION INFORMATION (where applicable)

Subdivision Name:

No. of Lots Proposed:

No. of Lots Approved: 0

Variances Requested:

S/D Name Change:

OTHER INFORMATION (where applicable)

Other Bus./Ord. Amend.:

MPC ACTION AND DISPOSITION

Planner In Charge: Michael Brusseau

Staff Recomm. (Abbr.): DENY CR (Rural Commercial) zoning.

Staff Recomm. (Full): Approval of CR for this site would be a spot rezoning. The site is not located directly on an intersection of collector or arterial streets. The intersection to the west of Fox Rd. and Canton Hollow Rd. could qualify, but it is 350 feet away, is more of a 90 degree turn of a road and name change, and has an ungated railroad crossing just north of the intersection. The traffic from the marina is problematic already, with trailered boats entering and exiting the marina site on an 18 foot wide road. Approval of this request would add more vehicles trips to Fox Rd. and exacerbate the problem.

Comments:

REZONING REQUIREMENTS:

NEED BASED ON SUBSTANTIALLY CHANGED/CHANGING CONDITIONS IN THE AREA OR THE COUNTY GENERALLY:

1. There have been no substantially changed conditions in this area that would warrant a plan or zoning change for this property. The roads are not wide enough to properly handle the traffic already generated by the marina next door. Rezoning this property to commercial would only exacerbate the problem. The marina has been in place for many years, and its site is zoned Ag. A marina and its accessory uses are uses permitted on review in the Agricultural zone. The applicant has been advised to look into some kind of partnership with the marina in order to conduct his proposed operations under the Agricultural zoning, with an application for use on review development plan approval. The two properties would need to be combined in order to consider a use on review for these new uses as accessory uses to the marina.

2. A rezoning of this site to CR constitutes a spot zoning, giving this applicant the right to use the property for uses not available to neighboring property owners.

3. CR zoning would allow uses that could have a negative impact on both the street system, as well as residential neighbors in the area. If this site is to be developed with commercial uses, it should be proposed as an overall development plan that combines the property with the adjacent Fox Rd. Marina property to the east. A use on review development plan could be considered by MPC for the entire site. This would give staff the opportunity to review a plan and recommend plan revisions/additions to maximize compatibility, safety and efficiency. Staff could also recommend conditions, such as limited hours of operation, reduced lighting, limited access points or landscape buffering. The use on review process also provides the opportunity for public comment at the MPC public meeting. This is not to be construed to mean that staff will necessarily support a use on review request, but it could allow the applicant's proposed use to be considered without requiring a rezoning of the property.

CONSISTENCY WITH INTENT AND PURPOSE OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE:

1. The requested CR zoning district provides the opportunity to locate limited retail and service uses in a manner convenient to outlying rural areas. It is intended to provide for the recurring shopping and personal service needs of nearby residential areas. This zoning should be placed at or near intersections of arterial and/or collector streets in order to maximize accessibility from surrounding areas. The range of permitted uses are limited to those that are generally patronized on a frequent basis by area residents. Development performance standards are provided to maximize compatibility between commercial uses and surrounding rural areas, and to maintain the rural character of these areas.

2. If the site is approved for the requested CR zoning, staff believes that it could lead to future requests for non-residential zoning in the surrounding area, especially on properties with lake frontage, including those located to the west.

3. The development criteria contained within the CR zone are essential to minimize the impacts to adjacent residential uses and lead to compatible development. Staff would not support any BZA variances to these development standards for this site, as they would undermine the compatibility with surrounding properties and dilute the effectiveness of the CR zone.

THE EFFECTS OF THIS PROPOSAL 1. Public water is available to the site. Sewer utilities are available in the general area, but may have

	the street could be 3. Commercial use increased noise, lig 4. If these requests	buld have no impactent negatively impacters can have detriment hting, signage and were to be approver	t on schools. Dependi d with additional traffic ental impacts on reside traffic. /ed, the applicant shou nox County to address	generated from a con initial properties with the ild be expected to sha	mmercial use. The potential for are in the costs of
	 The Southwest (site. The Plan shou proposal does not n amendment of the l This site is locate Policy Plan map. 	County Sector Plan uld not be amended neet any of the ger and use plan. ed within the Plann ommercial use of th	TO ADOPTED PLANS i's proposal for low der d to allow rural comme heral plan criteria regar hed Growth Area on the he subject property cou es.	rcial development at t ding changes of conc e Knoxville-Knox Cour	his location. The litions warranting nty-Farragut Growth
	changed with passa	age of Public Chap	e general plan (which ter 1150 by the Tennes e plan at TCA 13-3-304	ssee Legislature in 20	
	 The Planning Commission may initiate an amendment by adopting a resolution and certifying the amendment to the Legislative Body. Once approved by majority vote of the Legislative Body, the amendment is operative. The Legislative Body may also initiate an amendment and transmit the amendment to the Planning Commission. Once the Planning Commission has considered the proposed amendment and approved, not approved, or taken no action, the Legislative Body may approve the amendment by majority vote and the amendment is operative. 				
Action:	Other			Meeting Date:	11/10/2010
Details of Action:					
Summary of Action:		COMMERCIAL ZC	MISSION APPROVES DNING SUBJECT TO T MMENDATION.		
Date of Approval:	11/10/2010	Date of Denial:	7/8/2010	Postponements:	6/10/2010
Date of Withdrawal:		Withdrawn prior	to publication?:	Action Appealed?:	7/29/2010
	I EGISLA		AND DISPOSI		
Legislative Body:	Knox County Comm				

Date of Legislative Action: 12/13/2010	Date of Legislative Action, Second Reading: 12/20/2010
Ordinance Number:	Other Ordinance Number References:
Disposition of Case: Other	Disposition of Case, Second Reading: Denied
If "Other":	If "Other":
Amendments:	Amendments:
9/27/2010 Referred back to MPC with request for Sector Plan Amendment on 9/27/2010	Denied 12/17/2010 (Rescheduled Date)
Date of Legislative Appeal:	Effective Date of Ordinance: