# **CASE SUMMARY**

### APPLICATION TYPE: REZONING





#### PROPERTY INFORMATION **General Location:** Southwest side Bayless Ln., southeast of W. Beaver Creek Dr. **Other Parcel Info.:** Tax ID Number: 67 36, 36.01, 38 Jurisdiction: County Size of Tract: 3.07 acres Accessibility: GENERAL LAND USE INFORMATION **Existing Land Use:** Single family dwellings Surrounding Land Use: **Proposed Use:** Parking Density: North County Sector Plan: Sector Plan Designation: Low Density Residential **Growth Policy Plan:** Planned Growth Area **Neighborhood Context:** ADDRESS/RIGHT-OF-WAY INFORMATION (where applicable) Street: 7113 Bayless Ln

Location:

**Proposed Street Name:** 

**Department-Utility Report:** 

Reason:

#### ZONING INFORMATION (where applicable)

 Current Zoning:
 A (Agricultural)

 Former Zoning:
 B (Office, Medical, and Related Services)

 Previous Requests:
 None noted

 Extension of Zone:
 B (Office, Medical, and Related Services)

History of Zoning:

# PLAN INFORMATION (where applicable)

Current Plan Category:

**Requested Plan Category:** 

# SUBDIVISION INFORMATION (where applicable)

Subdivision Name:

Surveyor:

No. of Lots Proposed: Variances Requested: No. of Lots Approved: 0

S/D Name Change:

## OTHER INFORMATION (where applicable)

Other Bus./Ord. Amend.:

	MPC ACTION AND DISPOSITION		
Planner In Charge:	Michael Brusseau		
Staff Recomm. (Abbr.):	DENY OB (Office, Medical & Related Services) zoning, consistent with the sector plan recommendation.		
Staff Recomm. (Full):	OB zoning would permit development that is too intense to be accessed solely from the narrow Bayless Lane, which has pavement that is only 12 feet wide.		
Comments:	The applicant listed parking as the proposed use of the property. Parking, as well as other uses permitted under OB zoning, would generate too much traffic to be accessed from Bayless Lane. Although adjacent property is currently zoned OB, no additional increase in zoning intensity should be approved along Bayless Lane unless it is widened to a sufficient width to support more intense uses. Parking, as well as other uses permitted under OB zoning, would not be compatible with the single family residential uses adjacent to the property to the north and south. Staff has advised the applicant that a preferred approach to rezoning for church expansion would be to work with the adjacent neighborhood and attempt to get agreement on a more comprehensive, logical sector plan amendment based on a master plan for expansion.		
MPC Action:	Denied MPC Meeting Date: 2/13/2003		
Details of MPC action:			
Summary of MPC action:	DENY OB (Office, Medical & Related Services)		
Date of MPC Approval:	Date of Denial:         2/13/2003         Postponements:         7/11/2002, 8/8/2002		
Date of Withdrawal:	Withdrawn prior to publication?:  Action Appealed?: 11/12/2002		

#### LEGISLATIVE ACTION AND DISPOSITION

Legislative Body:	County Commission		
Date of Legislative Action:	3/24/2003	Date of Legislative Action, Second Reading:	
Ordinance Number:		Other Ordinance Number References:	
Disposition of Case:	Approved as Modified	Disposition of Case, Second Reading:	
If "Other": Referred back to MPC to reconsider as revised Feb. 13, 2003		If "Other":	
Amendments:		Amendments:	
Approved OB (Office, Medical & Related Services)			
Date of Legislative Appeal:		Effective Date of Ordinance:	