KNOXVILLE·KNOX COUNTY **CASE SUMMARY** APPLICATION TYPE: USE ON REVIEW METROPOLITAN PLANNING **COMMISSION** Ν Ν Е S S Е 8-J-12-UR File Number: **Related File Number:** Suite 403 • City County Building 400 Main Street 7/2/2012 **Application Filed:** Date of Revision: Knoxville, Tennessee 37902 865•215•2500 Applicant: **U. S. CELLULAR CORPORATION** FAX•215•2068 www•knoxmpc•org PROPERTY INFORMATION neral Location. Northeast side of Andersonville Pike, south side of F. Emory Rd, northwest side of Maynardville Pike

General Location:	Northeast side of Andersonville Pike., south side of E. Emory Rd., northwest side of Maynardville Pike.		
Other Parcel Info.:			
Tax ID Number:	38 108	Jurisdiction: County	
Size of Tract:	3 acres		
Accessibility:	Access is via Andersonville Pike., a major collector stree of-way.	t with a 18' pavement width within a 55' right-	

GENERAL LAND USE INFORMATION				
Existing Land Use:	Church			
Surrounding Land Use:				
Proposed Use:	170' Monopole Telecommunications Tower		Density:	
Sector Plan:	North County	Sector Plan Designation: P/I		
Growth Policy Plan:	Planned Growth Are	ea		
Neighborhood Context:	The site is located in a commercial corridor area that includes a mix of commercial businesses and institutional uses.			

ADDRESS/RIGHT-OF-WAY INFORMATION	(where ap	plicable))
ADDRES3/RIGHT-OF-WAT INFORMATION	(where ap	piicavie)	

Street:

4328 E Emory Rd

Location:

Proposed Street Name:

Department-Utility Report:

Reason:

ZONING INFORMATION (where applicable)

Current Zoning:	A (Agricultural)		
Former Zoning:			
Requested Zoning:			
Previous Requests:			
Extension of Zone:			
History of Zoning:	None noted		
PLAN INFORMATION (where applicable)			

Current Plan Category:

Requested Plan Category:

SUBDIVISION INFORMATION (where applicable)

Subdivision Name:

No. of Lots Proposed:

No. of Lots Approved: 0

Variances Requested:

S/D Name Change:

OTHER INFORMATION (where applicable)

Other Bus./Ord. Amend.:

	MPC ACTION AND DISPOSITION
Planner In Charge:	Tom Brechko
Staff Recomm. (Abbr.):	APPROVE the request for a 170' monopole telecommunications tower in the A zoning district and a waiver of the landscaping requirements around the tower enclosure, subject to 5 conditions.
Staff Recomm. (Full):	 Submitting revised development plans to Planning Commission staff reflecting the change from a 195' monopole tower to a 170' monopole tower. Meeting all applicable requirements of the Knox County Zoning Ordinance. Meeting all applicable requirements of the Knox County Department of Engineering and Public Works. Since the FAA does not require any lighting for this facility, there shall be no lighting on the tower. At the time of the request for a building permit, posting a bond or other approved financial surety that would ensure the removal of the tower if it is abandoned.
	With the conditions noted above, this request meets all criteria for a use-on-review in the A zoning district.
Comments:	This is a request for a new 170 foot monopole telecommunications tower to be located within an 800 square foot lease area located on a portion of the parking lot for Beaver Dam Baptist Church. The applicant had originally requested approval of a 195 foot monopole tower but revised the request on August 3, 2012 (see attached letter from Kramer Rayson LLP). The subject property is zoned A (Agricultural) and telecommunication towers are considered as a use on review in this district. The proposed tower site will have access to Andersonville Pike through the parking lot and access drive for the church.
	The proposed tower is required to be located 187 feet (110% of the tower height) from the nearest residence. The proposed tower far exceeds that minimum standard since the nearest residence is over 700' from the proposed tower. The applicant is proposing a 6' high security fence topped with three strands of barbed wire around the tower and equipment area. Due to the height of the tower, FAA does not require lighting for the tower.
	The applicant is requesting a waiver of the landscaping requirements around the tower enclosure due to existing site conditions (parking lot layout and detention facilities) that restrict compliance. The applicant is proposing to attach a wood privacy screen onto the security fencing around the enclosure. Staff is recommending approval of the waiver.
	The applicant states that there are no existing structures in the area that can be used for antenna placement to obtain the required coverage. The tower will support up to 3 telecommunication carrier antenna arrays. The monopole tower is designed to utilize close mount antenna arrays. U. S. Cellular Corporation will be the principal user for the tower. An agreement has been submitted stating that U. S. Cellular Corporation agrees to make all of its facilities available to other wireless providers.
	Attached to the staff report are several support documents, including a report from MPC's tower consultant, Mr. Larry E. Perry. Mr. Perry's report describes the proposal and highlights his findings. Mr. Perry concludes that the proposed tower is technically justified by the materials submitted by the applicant (see attached report). Mr. Perry has recommended that the applicant design the tower to hold up to 5 antenna arrays (3 are proposed) and consider a shorter structure. Staff has discussed these issues with the applicant. The limited lease area for the site restricts the area available for ground equipment and limits the maximum number of antenna arrays to three. In response to Mr. Perry's recommendation, the applicant reevaluated the use of a shorter tower at this site and are now amending their application to reduce the tower height to 170'.
	EFEECT OF THE REODOSAL ON THE SUBJECT REOREDTY, SUBBOUNDING REOREDTY AND

EFFECT OF THE PROPOSAL ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, SURROUNDING PROPERTY AND THE COMMUNITY AS A WHOLE

	1 The proposed	dovelopment will have minin	al impact on local convisor since th	o required utilities are
	 The proposed development will have minimal impact on local services since the required utiliti available to serve this site. The proposed tower will not negatively impact surrounding properties since it is located within commercial corridor area. 			
	CONFORMITY OF THE PROPOSAL TO CRITERIA ESTABLISHED BY THE KNOXVILLE ZONING ORDINANCE			
	 With the recommended conditions, the proposed commercial telecommunical location meets the standards required in the A (Agricultural) zoning district. The proposed tower is consistent with the general standards for uses permitt proposed development is consistent with the adopted plans and policies of the C County Sector Plan and Wireless Communications Facility Plan. The use is in h general purpose and intent of the Zoning Ordinance. Since this site is in a comm will not significantly injure the value of adjacent property. The use will not draw a residential areas. 			d on review: The eneral Plan, North rmony with the ercial corridor, the use
	CONFORMITY OF THE PROPOSAL TO ADOPTED PLANS			
Action:	 The North County Sector Plan proposes public/institutional uses on this property. With the minimal site alteration required for the proposed tower, the proposed development is consistent with this land designation. Under the guidelines for tower placement in the Wireless Communications Facility Plan, this proposed tower would be considered a tall monopole. The tower falls within the "Opportunity Area" of the Land Use/Wireless Facilities Matrix since the proposed tower site is located in an "office/commercial corridor". The Plan takes a neutral position on tall monopoles located in an "office/commercial corridor". The site is located within the Planned growth Area on the Knoxville-Knox County-Farragut Growth Policy Plan map. 			istent with this land cility Plan, this Opportunity Area" of an located in an
	Approved		Meeting Date:	0/9/2012
Details of Action: Summary of Action:			lecommunications tower in the A zo d the tower enclosure, subject to 5 o	
Date of Approval:	8/9/2012	Date of Denial:	Postponements:	
Date of Withdrawal:		Withdrawn prior to pub	lication?: 🔲 Action Appealed?:	
	LEGISI	ATIVE ACTION AND	DISPOSITION	
Legislative Body:		ard of Zoning Appeals		
Date of Legislative Action:	Date of Legislative Action, Second Reading:			
Ordinance Number:			linance Number References:	-
Disposition of Case:		Dispositi	on of Case, Second Reading:	
If "Other":		If "Other"	_	

Amendments:

Date of Legislative Appeal:

Effective Date of Ordinance:

Amendments: